

‘REGISTERED’

FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF GRIEVANCES OF HPSEBL CONSUMERS.
No. FRGC/review application No. 1432/4/15/087 **Dated:**

Sidhartha Super Spinning Mills Ltd.,
Village Khera, Nalagarh, Tehsil Nalagarh,
District Solan, H.P.,
through its representative/Nominee Sh. Harsh Gulati.

.....Non-applicant/Complainant

Versus

1. H.P. State Electricity Board, Vidyut Bhawan, Shimla-4, H.P., through its Secretary.
2. The Additional Superintending Engineer/Executive Engineer, Electrical Division, HPSEBL Nalagarh, District Solan, H.P.
3. The Assistant Executive Engineer, HPSEBL, Electrical Sub-Division, Nalagarh, District Solan, H.P.

.....Applicants/Respondents

The Certified copy of final order dated 19.01.2016 passed by the Hon'ble Forum in the aforesaid complaint is enclosed find herewith for further necessary action at your end please. The compliance be reported/ intimated within one month after the receipt of order in the office.

DA:-As above.

Secretary,
Forum for Redressal of Grievances of
Consumers HPSEBL, Kasumpti Shimla-9.

FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF GRIEVANCES OF HPSEBL, CONSUMERS.

No. FRGC/ review application No. 1432/4/15/087

Dated:-

Sidhartha Super Spinning Mills Ltd.,
Village Khera, Nalagarh, Tehsil Nalagarh,
District Solan, H.P.,
through its representative/Nominee Sh. Harsh Gulati.

.....Non-applicant/Complainant

V/s

HPSEBL & OthersApplicants/Respondents

Dated:-19.01.2016

The order in the above-cited review application is announced today on dated 19.01.2016. Copy of order be sent to all concerned through registered post. The complaint stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(B.M. Nanta)
Member

Sd/-
(Rakesh Sharma)
Member

Sd/-
(R.S. Ranhotra)
Chairman

FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF GRIEVANCES OF THE CONSUMERS OF HPSEB LTD, SDA COMPLEX, BLOCK NO.8 KASUMPTI, SHIMLA-171009.

Review Application.: - 1432/4/15/087
Date of Admission: - 19.01.2016
Quorum: - Er. Ranbir Singh Ranhotra, Chairman
Er. Rakesh Sharma, Member
Sh. B.M.Nanta, Member

In the matter of:-

Sidhartha Super Spinning Mills Ltd. Village Khera,
Nalagarh, Tehsil Nalagarh, District Solan, H.P.,
through its representative/Nominee Sh. Harsh Gulati.

.....Non-applicant/Complainant

Versus

1. H.P. State Electricity Board, Vidyut Bhawan, Shimla-4, H.P., through its Secretary.
2. The Additional Superintending Engineer/Executive Engineer, Electrical Division, HPSEBL Nalagarh, District Solan, H.P.
3. The Assistant Executive Engineer, HPSEBL, Electrical Sub-Division, Nalagarh, District Solan, H.P.

.....Applicants/Respondents

Subject:- Application on behalf of HPSEBL (Respondent) to rectify the order passed by this Forum in complaint/ review 1432/4/15/087 dated 11.01.2016.

Final hearing held on dated: - 19.01.2016

Present For: -

Complainant 1. Sh. Bhagwan Chand, Advocate.
Respondents 1. Sh. O.C. Sharma, Advocate.

Date of Decision: - 19.01.2016

Order

An application has been filed today on behalf of HPSEBL through its Counsel Sh. Bhagwan Chand stating that in the above noted review petition No. 1432/4/15/087 which was filed by the HPSEBL in order to review the order passed by this Forum on 24.09.2015, it has been prayed that in the order passed by this Forum on 11.01.2016, some mistake/error apparent from the face of the record has occurred as the respondent or its Counsel did not make a statement “to withdraw the application with liberty to approach appropriate authority in the light of above order of the APTEL” and as a result the case was “dismissed as withdrawn”.

From the perusal of the record and based on the observations made during the hearing, the Forum finds that the APTEL has set-a-side the impugned clarificatory order dated 05.02.2011 of the HPERC. All the consequential actions or orders or the demand notices or bills raised by the respondent board on the strength of the aforementioned impugned clarificatory order dated 02.05.2011 have also been quashed or set-a-side. In the light of the above, in the original order dated 11.01.2016 of this Forum on Page 5, the order “the Ld. Counsel for applicant (HPSEBL) has no objection to withdraw the application at this stage with liberty to approach appropriate authority in the light of above order of APTEL” and “The case is dismissed as withdrawn” will stand omitted and “ the case is disposed of accordingly” will be added.

Rest of the order shall remain the same.

The file is consigned to the record room alongwith original copy of the order. The certified copy of order be kept in safe custody in the folder of orders. Certified copies of this order be supplied to both the parties.

Place: - Shimla
Dated: -19.01.2016

Sd/-
(B.M. Nanta)
Member

Sd/-
(Rakesh Sharma)
Member

Sd/-
(R.S.Ranhotra)
Chairman